

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. BOX 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/993,258	11/13/2001		Jeffrey D. Stroomer	X-930 US	7768	
24309	7590	01/05/2005		EXAMINER		
XILINX, IN		. D	BAHTA, KIDEST			
	ATTN: LEGAL DEPARTMENT 2100 LOGIC DR				PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE,	SAN JOSE, CA 95124				2125	
•				DATE MAILED, 01/05/2004	•	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		09/993,258	STROOMER, JEFFREY D.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Kidest Bahta	2125				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply							
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIOn usions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by state eply received by the Office later than three months after the main and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	N. 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply b reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) fod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS t tute, cause the application to become ABANDO	e timely filed days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. DNED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status							
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20	September 2004.					
2a) <u></u> □	This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ T	his action is non-final.	•				
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	on of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are pending in the applicatida) Of the above claim(s) is/are withded claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-37</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.					
Applicati	on Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corr The oath or declaration is objected to by the	-	-				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. § 119						
a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bure	ents have been received. ents have been received in Applic riority documents have been rece	cation No				
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment	(a)						
1) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summ					
	of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0	Paper No(s)/Mai	I Date al Patent Application (PTO-152)				
	No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	arr atont Application (FTO-194)				

Application/Control Number: 09/993,258 Page 2

Art Unit: 2125

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The steps of claims 1-37 consist solely of displaying program code without practical application in the technological arts or simply manipulate abstract ideas without practical application in the technological arts. Merely determining values is too preliminary to permit one of ordinary skill to realize any usefulness in the technological arts. It is noted that claims 1-37 include a query of linked element of the computer program; however, it is unclear how and when to use the claimed invention

To expedite a complete examination of the instant application the claims rejection under 35 U.S. C. 101 (nonstatutory) above are further rejection as set of forth below in anticipation of application amending these claims to place them within the four statutory categories of invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

4. Claims 1-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bailey (U.S. Patent 6,701,513 in view of Yamamoto et al. (U. S. Patent 6,735,759).

Regarding claims 1-30, Bailey discloses a computer program comprising: displaying program code for the computer program in a user interface comprising a code display window (Fig. 8B); linking at least one element to the displayed program code to a data file (column 16, lines 15-25), the data file comprising implementation instructions for the at least one element of the computer program (column 18, lines 47-67); displaying an implementation instruction selected from the group consisting of program code continents, modified program code, a code execution directive, a compilation directive, and an assembly directive (column 21, lines 24-30); at least one element is selected from the group consisting of functions, variables, and expressions (Fig. 4D); the implementation development window and the code display window are concurrently viewable (Fig. 4D, 8A); the implementation development window does not obscure the code display window when concurrently viewed (column 22, lines 5-25); displaying the program code in a text editor viewable within the code display window (column 21, lines 24-39).

However, Bailey fails to discloses responsive to a query of one of the at least one linked element of the computer program, displaying a corresponding implementation instruction for the queried element in the user interface; displaying program code in the code display window further comprises displaying the program code in a text editor viewable within the code display window; responsive to the query of one of the at least one linked element, inputting an implementation instruction for the queried element in

Page 4

the implementation on display window; comprising storing the inputted implementation instruction in the data file; displaying the implementation instruction in the implementation display window of the user interface without obscuring the program code.

Yamamoto discloses displaying a corresponding implementation instruction for the queried element in the user interface (Fig. 2); displaying program code in the code display window further comprises displaying the program code in a text editor viewable within the code display window (Abstract); responsive to the query of one of the at least one linked element, inputting an implementation instruction for the queried element in the implementation on display window (Fig. 5); storing the inputted implementation instruction in the data file (Fig. 1 element 108); displaying the implementation instruction in the implementation display window of the user interface without obscuring the program code (Fig. 5 and Fig. 6).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to improve the teachings of Bailey with the teachings of Yamamoto in order to easily modify and edit the software program.

Conclusion

- 5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- Any inquiry concerning communication or earlier communication from the examiner should be directed to Kidest Bahta, whose telephone number is (703) 308-6103. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F from 7:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m. EST

Application/Control Number: 09/993,258 Page 5

Art Unit: 2125

(every other Friday). If attempts to reach the examiner by phone fail, the examiner's supervisor, Leo Picard, can be reached (703) 308-0538. Additionally, the fax phone for Art Unit 2125 is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the group receptionist at (703) 305-9600.

Kidest Bahta

December 23, 2004